

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

3 SAMMEON LEWIS,

4 Plaintiff

5 v.

6 JULIE KRAIG,

7 Defendant

Case No.: 2:24-cv-00158-APG-NJK

**Order Accepting Report and
Recommendation and Dismissing Case**

[ECF No. 5]

8 On February 27, 2024, Magistrate Judge Koppe recommended that I dismiss this case
9 because plaintiff Sammeon Lewis's original complaint did not establish subject matter
10 jurisdiction and he did not file an amended complaint by the given deadline. ECF No. 16. Lewis
11 did not object. Thus, I am not obligated to conduct a de novo review of the report and
12 recommendation. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) (requiring district courts to "make a de novo
13 determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings to which objection is
14 made"); *United States v. Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003) (en banc) ("the
15 district judge must review the magistrate judge's findings and recommendations de novo *if*
16 *objection is made*, but not otherwise" (emphasis in original)).

17 I THEREFORE ORDER that Magistrate Judge Koppes' report and recommendation
18 (ECF No. 5) is accepted and this case is dismissed for lack of subject matter jurisdiction. The
19 clerk of court is instructed to close this case.

20 DATED this 14th day of March, 2024.

21 

22 ANDREW P. GORDON
23 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE